

GEVREY REGULARITY FOR THE ATTRACTOR OF A DAMPED WAVE EQUATION

CÉDRIC GALUSINSKI¹, SERGUEI ZELIK²

1: MAB, Université Bordeaux I, CNRS, UMR 5466
351 cours de la libération
33400 Talence, France

2: LAM-SP2MI, Université de Poitiers
Boulevard Marie et Pierre Crie-Téléport 2
86962 Chasseneuil Futuroscope Cedex, France

Abstract. The goal of this paper is to obtain time-asymptotic regularity in Gevrey spaces of the solution of a damped wave equation. The difficulty is due to the fact that this equation is only partially dissipative.

1. Introduction. We consider the following singularly perturbed damped wave equation in a cube domain $\Omega = [0, 2\pi]^3$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \partial_t^2 u^\varepsilon + \gamma \partial_t u^\varepsilon + Au^\varepsilon + f(u^\varepsilon) &= g, \\ u^\varepsilon|_{t=0} &= u_0, \quad \partial_t u^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = u_1, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the operator $A = I - \Delta$ with periodic boundary conditions.

We assume that $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. The nonlinear function f is required to be real analytic,

$$f(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j u^j, \quad \text{where } h(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_j| s^j < +\infty \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2}$$

We assume furthermore that the nonlinearity f satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} f'(u) &\geq -K, \\ f(u) \cdot u &\geq 0 \quad \text{if } |u| \geq L \\ |f''(u)| &\leq C(1 + |u|), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where C , K , and L are fixed positive constants. The assumptions (2) and (3) are fulfilled for cubic nonlinearity $f(u) = u^3 - \alpha u$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 1. We can replace the assumption (3) by an other one, if we are able to obtain uniform (with respect to ε) absorbing sets in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. For example $f(u) = \sin u$.

We assume that

$$g \text{ is periodic and analytic.} \tag{4}$$

In [1] [2], we obtained, for this problem, the existence of exponential attractors with a rate of attraction, a diameter and a fractal dimension uniform with ε , in the

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35B40 35B45.

Key words and phrases. Gevrey regularity, asymptotic behavior, exponential attractors, damped wave equation, partially dissipative.

variable u as well as u_t . For such a result, the appropriated spaces for solutions of (1) are $\mathcal{E}^k(\varepsilon) = H_{per}^{k+1}(\Omega) \times H_{per}^k(\Omega)$ equipped with the following norms

$$\|(u^\varepsilon(t), u_t^\varepsilon(t))\|_{\mathcal{E}^k(\varepsilon)}^2 = \varepsilon \|u_t^\varepsilon(t)\|_{H_{per}^k}^2 + \|u_t^\varepsilon(t)\|_{H_{per}^{k-1}}^2 + \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{H_{per}^{k+1}}^2,$$

the spaces $H_{per}^k(\Omega)$ denote the classical Sobolev spaces on Ω with periodic boundary conditions.

We are able to prove the existence of smooth exponential attractors in $\mathcal{E}^k(\varepsilon)$ for k large, attracting all sets of $\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)$ even if the equation is not fully dissipative, thanks to a transitivity property [2]. Here we show a stronger result of regularity with Gevrey classes of the asymptotic trajectories. We state without detailed proof the existence of exponential attractors with Gevrey regularity attracting all sets of $\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)$.

Gevrey regularity for solution of dissipative partial dissipation equation is obtained for example for Navier-Stokes equations in [4]. More recently, Gevrey regularity for asymptotic trajectories of partially dissipative problems is obtained in [5] for a Bénard Convection model.

2. Main result. We introduce the Gevrey classes $G_\sigma^p(\Omega) = D(A^{\frac{p}{2}} e^{\sigma A^{\frac{1}{2}}})$. The norm on $G_\sigma^p(\Omega)$ is

$$\|u\|_{G_\sigma^p(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |u_j|^2 (1 + j^2)^{\frac{p}{4}} e^{2\sigma(1+j^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

where the u_j are the Fourier coefficients of u .

Let us introduce the Gevrey classes $\mathcal{F}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon) = G_\sigma^{k+1}(\Omega) \times G_\sigma^k(\Omega)$ for our problem, equipped with the norm

$$\|(u^\varepsilon(t), u_t^\varepsilon(t))\|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon)}^2 = \varepsilon \|u_t^\varepsilon(t)\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 + \|u_t^\varepsilon(t)\|_{G_\sigma^{k-1}}^2 + \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{G_\sigma^{k+1}}^2.$$

We denote by $S^\varepsilon(t)$ the semigroup associated to (1),

$$S^\varepsilon(t)(u_0, u_1) = (u^\varepsilon(t), u_t^\varepsilon(t)).$$

The aim of this paper is to establish

Theorem 1. *Let $k > \frac{5}{2}$, under assumptions (2), (3), (4), for all (u_0, u_1) in $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)$, there exist ε_{\max} and σ_{\max} such that for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{\max}$ and $\sigma \leq \sigma_{\max}$, there exist (v, v_t) uniformly bounded with respect to ε in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{F}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon))$ such that*

$$\|(u^\varepsilon(t), u_t^\varepsilon(t)) - (v(t), v_t(t))\|_{\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)} \leq C \exp(-\mu t), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

with $\mu > 0$ and C independent of ε .

Remark 2. Because of the lack of time regularizing effect in the wave equation, we can't obtain an estimate in $\mathcal{F}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon)$ for $(u^\varepsilon(t), u_t^\varepsilon(t))$ as it is made for dissipative equations. But we obtain a time-asymptotic regularizing effect.

Corollary 1. *Under the same assumptions, the points of the attractor of (1) are a uniformly bounded for the $\mathcal{F}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon)$ -norm.*

Theorem 2. *Under the same assumption than theorem 1, there exist exponential attractors $\mathcal{M}^\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{F}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon)$ on $\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)$. The radius of \mathcal{M}^ε is uniformly bounded on $\mathcal{F}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon)$ with respect to $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{\max}$. The rate of attraction is also uniform, the fractal dimension has a uniform bound.*

We don't prove this theorem in this article. The first step of the proof is to show that trajectories stemmed from a bounded set of $\mathcal{F}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon)$ are still bounded for all time in $\mathcal{F}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon)$. the second step is to use a transitivity argument as in [2] or as in the end of the proof of the Theorem 1.

3. Proof of the Theorem 1. The proof of this theorem uses techniques developed in [5] and [4] and uses results of [2].

Lemma 1. [4] *Let u and v be in $G_\sigma^k(\Omega)$, if $k > \frac{n}{2}$ then uv belongs to $G_\sigma^k(\Omega)$ and there exists C_k such that,*

$$\|uv\|_{G_\sigma^k(\Omega)} \leq C_k \|u\|_{G_\sigma^k(\Omega)} \|v\|_{G_\sigma^k(\Omega)}.$$

Let f be a function verifying assumption (2) with a majorizing function h , then,

$$\|f(u)\|_{G_\sigma^k(\Omega)} \leq (1 + C_k^{-1})h(C_k \|u\|_{G_\sigma^k(\Omega)}). \quad (5)$$

Let λ be an eigenvalue of A , let P_λ be the projector on the low frequencies (the subspace generated by the eigenfunctions whose eigenvalues are smaller than λ). We construct (v, v_t) in the following way

$$(v, v_t) = P_\lambda(u^\varepsilon, u_t^\varepsilon) + (\hat{v}, \hat{v}_t),$$

where (\hat{v}, \hat{v}_t) is solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \hat{v}_{tt} + \alpha \hat{v}_t - \Delta \hat{v} + Q_\lambda f(P_\lambda u + \hat{v}) &= Q_\lambda g, \\ \hat{v}|_{t=0} = 0, \hat{v}_t|_{t=0} &= 0, \\ \text{with } Q_\lambda &= I - P_\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In order to prove this theorem 1, we first assume that (u_0, u_1) belongs to $\mathcal{E}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon)$.

Lemma 2. *The solution (v, v_t) of (6) belongs to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{F}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon))$*

Proof of Lemma. In order to obtain an estimate in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{F}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon))$, we compute the $\mathcal{G}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon)$ inner product of (6) with \hat{v} , \hat{v}_t and $A^{-1}\hat{v}_{tt}$. We combine these three equations and denote $B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} |B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} |B^{k+1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}|_2^2 + \alpha \varepsilon (B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t, B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}) + \frac{\alpha}{2} |B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}|_2^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\beta}{2} |B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t|_2^2 + \beta (B^k e^{\sigma B} v, B^k e^{\sigma B} v_t) \right) \\ &+ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta (B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} Q_\lambda (f(P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v})), B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) - \beta (B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} g, B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) \right) \\ &\quad + (\gamma - \alpha \varepsilon - \beta) |B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t|_2^2 + \alpha |B^{k+1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}|_2^2 + \beta \varepsilon |B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_{tt}|_2^2 \leq \quad (7) \\ &\beta (B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}, B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) + \beta (B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} Q_\lambda f'(P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v}) \partial_t (P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v}), B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) \\ &\quad - \alpha (B^k e^{\sigma B} Q_\lambda f(P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v}), B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}) + \alpha (B^k e^{\sigma B} g, B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}) \\ &\quad + (B^k e^{\sigma B} g, B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) - (B^k e^{\sigma B} Q_\lambda f(P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v}), B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t). \end{aligned}$$

The constant α and β will be chosen properly and independent of ε . A first step is to take $\beta = 0$, we then obtain the estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Gamma + (\gamma - \alpha \varepsilon) \|\hat{v}_t\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 + \alpha \|\hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^{k+1}}^2 &\leq \alpha \|Q_\lambda f(P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v})\|_{G_\sigma^k} \|\hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^k} \\ &\quad + \alpha \|g\|_{G_\sigma^k} \|\hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^k} + \|Q_\lambda f(P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v})\|_{G_\sigma^k} \|\hat{v}_t\|_{G_\sigma^k} \quad (8) \\ &\quad + \|g\|_{G_\sigma^k} \|\hat{v}_t\|_{G_\sigma^k} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Gamma = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\hat{v}_t\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^{k+1}}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 + \alpha \varepsilon (\hat{v}_t, \hat{v})_{G_\sigma^k}.$$

According to Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, and arguing that λ is the smallest eigenvalue on $Q_\lambda L^2(\Omega)$, we obtain,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Gamma + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \alpha \varepsilon\right) \|\hat{v}_t\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 + \alpha \|\hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^{k+1}}^2 \leq \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) (\|f(P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v})\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 + \|g\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2) \quad (9)$$

We choose $\varepsilon_{\max} \leq \frac{\gamma}{4\alpha}$, then,

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|\hat{v}_t\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^{k+1}}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{4} \|\hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 \leq \Gamma \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{4} \|\hat{v}_t\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^{k+1}}^2 + \frac{3\alpha}{4} \|\hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2. \quad (10)$$

Choosing $\alpha \leq 4\lambda$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Gamma + \alpha \Gamma \leq \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) (\|f(P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v})\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 + \|g\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2). \quad (11)$$

According to assumption (2) and applying (5), we have,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Gamma + \alpha \Gamma \leq \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) ((1 + C_k^{-1})h^2(C_k \|P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^k}) + \|g\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2). \quad (12)$$

Lemma 3. Assume that $\sigma \leq \sigma_{\max} \leq \frac{c}{\lambda}$, then,

$$\|P_\lambda u^\varepsilon\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 \leq e^{\sigma_{\max} \lambda} \|u^\varepsilon\|_{H_{per}^k}^2 \leq e^c \|u^\varepsilon\|_{H_{per}^k}^2 \leq C,$$

where c is a fixed constant independent of λ .

Proof of Lemma. The first inequality of the lemma is obvious, the second follows from the existence of absorbing sets [2] in \mathcal{E}_σ^k assuming the same regularity on the initial data. \square

We can now conclude to the bound of Γ instead of the *a priori* high growth of h by virtue of the smallness of initial data. As a matter of fact, $\Gamma(t=0) = 0$, then, while $\Gamma \leq m$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 &\leq \frac{4m}{\alpha} \\ \frac{d}{dt} \Gamma + \alpha \Gamma &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) ((1 + C_k^{-1})h^2(C_k(\sqrt{C} + 2\sqrt{\frac{m}{\alpha}})) + \|g\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2) \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that, during the time such that $\Gamma \leq m$

$$\Gamma \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\alpha\gamma}\right) ((1 + C_k^{-1})h^2(C_k(\sqrt{C} + 2\sqrt{\frac{m}{\alpha}})) + \|g\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2)$$

Choosing α and λ ($\lambda \geq \frac{\alpha}{4}$) large enough so that the right handside is smaller than m , we have shown that Γ remains smaller than m for all time under the condition

$$m > \left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\alpha\gamma}\right) ((1 + C_k^{-1})h^2(C_k\sqrt{C}) + \|g\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2).$$

For example we take

$$\alpha = \lambda \geq \max\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}, \frac{4m}{C^2}\right), \text{ with } m = 2((1 + C_k^{-1})h^2(2C_k\sqrt{C}) + \|g\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2).$$

From the inequality (10), we then have obtained a bound for $\varepsilon \|\hat{v}_t\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 + 2\|\hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^{k+1}}^2 + \alpha \|\hat{v}\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2$ assuming that $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1)$ belongs to \mathcal{E}^k . We go back to (7) with the same

value $\alpha(=\lambda)$, ε_{\max} as below but with $\beta \neq 0$. The goal is now to obtain a bound on \hat{v}_t in G_σ^{k-1} independently of ε .

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\Gamma + \frac{\beta}{2} |B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t|_2^2 + \beta (B^k e^{\sigma B} v, B^k e^{\sigma B} v_t) \right) \\ & + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta (B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} Q_\lambda (f(P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v})), B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) - \beta (B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} g, B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) \right) \\ & + \frac{\gamma}{16} |B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t|_2^2 + \alpha \Gamma \leq \\ & \beta (B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}, B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) + \beta (B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} Q_\lambda f' (P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v}) \partial_t (P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v}), B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) + \alpha m. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by Γ_1 the quantity,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 = & \beta (B^k e^{\sigma B} v, B^k e^{\sigma B} v_t) + \beta (B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} Q_\lambda (f(P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v})), B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) \\ & - \beta (B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} g, B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\Gamma + \frac{\beta}{2} |B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t|_2^2 + \Gamma_1 \right) + \frac{\gamma}{16} |B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t|_2^2 + \alpha (\Gamma + \Gamma_1) \leq \\ & \beta (B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}, B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) + \beta (B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} Q_\lambda f' (P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v}) \partial_t (P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v}), B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) \\ & + \alpha (\Gamma_1 + m). \end{aligned}$$

We can bound Γ_1 in the following way,

$$\alpha \Gamma_1 \leq \frac{\gamma}{32} \|\hat{v}_t\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 + 32\lambda\beta\gamma^{-1}m + 16\beta^2\gamma^{-1}(h(\sqrt{C} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{\lambda}}) + \|g\|_{G_\sigma^k})^2.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta (B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}, B^k e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) + \beta (B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} Q_\lambda f' (P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v}) \partial_t (P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v}), B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t) \leq \\ & \frac{\gamma}{64} \|\hat{v}_t\|_{G_\sigma^k}^2 + 64\beta^2\gamma^{-1}\lambda^{-1}m + 64\gamma^{-1}\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{C}h'(\sqrt{C} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{\lambda}}) \\ & + 2048\gamma^{-2}\lambda^{-4}h'^2(\sqrt{C} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{\lambda}}). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\beta = \frac{\gamma}{32}$, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\Gamma + \frac{\beta}{2} |B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t|_2^2 \right) + \lambda \left(\Gamma + \frac{\beta}{2} |B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t|_2^2 \right) \leq \\ & 64\beta^2\gamma^{-1}\lambda^{-1}m + 64\gamma^{-1}\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{C}h'(\sqrt{C} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{\lambda}}) + 2048\gamma^{-2}\lambda^{-4}h'^2(\sqrt{C} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{\lambda}}) \\ & + 32\lambda\beta\gamma^{-1}m + 16\beta^2\gamma^{-1}(h(\sqrt{C} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{\lambda}}) + \|g\|_{G_\sigma^k})^2. \end{aligned}$$

We then have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma(t) + \frac{\gamma}{64} |B^{k-1} e^{\sigma B} \hat{v}_t(t)|_2^2 + \Gamma_1(t) \leq \\ & \frac{\gamma}{64} \lambda^{-2} m + 64\gamma^{-1}\lambda^{-\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{C}h'(\sqrt{C} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{\lambda}}) + 2048\gamma^{-2}\lambda^{-5}h'^2(\sqrt{C} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{\lambda}}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}m + \frac{1}{256}\gamma(h(\sqrt{C} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{\lambda}}) + \|g\|_{G_\sigma^k})^2, \quad \forall t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

As we already bound Γ_1 , the desired estimate of (\hat{v}, \hat{v}_t) in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{F}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon))$ is obtained. \square

For λ large enough, we now prove the second part of the theorem 1 (but for smooth initial data), that is, $(w, w_t) = (u^\varepsilon - v, u_t^\varepsilon - v_t)$ goes to zero in $\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)$ -norm. The proof is based on energy estimate with $\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)$ -norm of (w, w_t) solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon w_{tt} + \alpha w_t - \Delta w + Q_\lambda(F(u^\varepsilon, \hat{v})w) &= 0, \\ w|_{t=0} = Q_\lambda u_0, \hat{v}_t|_{t=0} &= Q_\lambda u_1, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$F(u^\varepsilon, \hat{v}) = \int_0^1 f'(u^\varepsilon + \theta(P_\lambda u^\varepsilon + \hat{v})) d\theta.$$

We assume again here that (u_0, u_1) belongs to $\mathcal{E}^k(\varepsilon)$, ($k > \frac{5}{2}$), so that we have uniform bound with time of $(u^\varepsilon, u_t^\varepsilon)$ in $\mathcal{E}^k(\varepsilon)$.

We compute the $\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)$ inner product this equation with (w, w_t) , we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\Gamma + (\gamma - \alpha\varepsilon)|w_t|_2^2 + \alpha|Bw|_2^2 \leq \\ |F(u^\varepsilon, \hat{v})|_\infty|w|_2^2 + \alpha|F(u^\varepsilon, \hat{v})|_\infty|w|_2|w_t|_2 \\ + \beta|\partial_t F(u^\varepsilon, \hat{v})|_\infty|w|_2|A^{-1}w_t|_2 + \beta|F(u^\varepsilon, \hat{v})|_\infty|w|_2|A^{-1}w_t|_2, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Gamma = \varepsilon|w_t|_2^2 + |Bw|_2^2 + \alpha|w|_2^2 + \beta|B^{-1}w_t|_2^2 + \beta(Q_\lambda F(u^\varepsilon, \hat{v})w, A^{-1}w_t) + \alpha\varepsilon(w, w_t).$$

Then,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Gamma + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \alpha\varepsilon\right)|w_t|_2^2 + \alpha|Bw|_2^2 \leq C\lambda^{-1}|Bw|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{\gamma}C^2\lambda^{-1}|Bw|_2^2 + \beta^2C^2\lambda^{-3}|Bw|_2^2,$$

where C depends on bound of F and $\partial_t F$. Choosing, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = \min(1, \frac{\lambda^2}{2C})$ and λ large enough so that,

$$\lambda \geq 2C + 2C^2(\gamma^{-1} + \lambda^{-2})$$

and $\varepsilon_{\max} \leq \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{4})$, we have

$$\Gamma \geq \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon|w_t|_2^2 + |Bw|_2^2 + \alpha|w|_2^2 + \beta|B^{-1}w_t|_2^2),$$

$$\Gamma \leq \frac{3}{2} (\varepsilon|w_t|_2^2 + |Bw|_2^2 + \alpha|w|_2^2 + \beta|B^{-1}w_t|_2^2),$$

and, for $\lambda \geq \beta$,

$$\Gamma(t) \leq \Gamma(0) \exp\left(-\min\left(\frac{\gamma}{12}, \frac{1}{3}\right)t\right).$$

Let us conclude to the proof of theorem 1 with the

Lemma 4. *Let $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{\max}$, let $k > \frac{5}{2}$, let us assume that (u_0, u_1) belongs to $\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)$. There exists $(\tilde{u}(t), \tilde{u}_t(t))$ uniformly bounded with t and ε in $\mathcal{E}^k(\varepsilon)$ such that there exist nonnegative reals m_1 and μ_1 , independent of ε such that*

$$\|(\tilde{u}(t_0), \tilde{u}_t(t_0)) - S^\varepsilon(t_0)(u_0, u_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)} \leq m_1 \exp(-\mu_1 t_0). \quad (13)$$

Assume also $\sigma \leq \sigma_{\max}$, for all $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1)$ in $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{E}^k(\varepsilon)$, there exist (v, v_t) uniformly bounded with respect to ε in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{F}_\sigma^k(\varepsilon))$ such that there exist nonnegative reals m_2 and μ_2 , independent of ε such that

$$\|S^\varepsilon(t_1)(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1) - (v(t_1), v_t(t_1))\|_{\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)} \leq m_2 \exp(-\mu_2 t_1), \quad \forall t_1 \geq 0, \quad (14)$$

There exist nonnegative reals m_3 and μ_3 , independent of ε such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S^\varepsilon(t_1 + t_0)(u_0, u_1) - S^\varepsilon(t_1)(\tilde{u}(t_0), \tilde{u}_t(t_0))\|_{\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)} \\ \leq m_3 \exp(\mu_3 t_1) \|S^\varepsilon(t_0)(u_0, u_1) - (\tilde{u}(t_0), \tilde{u}_t(t_0))\|_{\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)}, \quad \forall t_0, t_1 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Proof of Lemma. The estimate (13) can be found in [2], it is based on the following splitting of $S^\varepsilon(t)$, $u^\varepsilon = d^\varepsilon + r^\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon d_{tt}^\varepsilon + \gamma d_t^\varepsilon + A d^\varepsilon + f_1(d^\varepsilon) &= 0 \\ d_{t=0}^\varepsilon &= u^\varepsilon, \quad d_t^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = 0, \\ \varepsilon r_{tt}^\varepsilon + \gamma r_t^\varepsilon + A r^\varepsilon + f_1(d^\varepsilon + r^\varepsilon) - f_1(d^\varepsilon) + f_2(u^\varepsilon) &= g \\ d_{t=0}^\varepsilon &= 0, \quad d_t^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

with periodic boundary condition and $f = f_1 + f_2$, $f_1' \geq 0$, $|f_2| + |f_2'| + |f_2''|$ is bounded.

The estimate (14) is what is shown above.

The estimate (15) is a classical estimate of the difference of two solutions. \square

Then, choosing $t_1 = \frac{\mu_1}{2\mu_3 + \mu_1} t_0$, (13) and (15) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S^\varepsilon(t_1 + t_0)(u_0, u_1) - S^\varepsilon(t_1)(\tilde{u}(t_0), \tilde{u}_t(t_0))\|_{\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)} \\ & \leq m_1 m_3 \exp(-\frac{\mu_1}{2}(t_1 + t_0)), \quad \forall t_0, t_1 = \frac{\mu_1}{2\mu_3 + \mu_1} t_0 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

At last, thanks to (14),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S^\varepsilon(t_1 + t_0)(u_0, u_1) - (v(t_1), v_t(t_1))\|_{\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon)} \\ & \leq m_1 m_3 \exp(-\frac{\mu_1}{2}(t_1 + t_0)) + m_2 \exp(-\mu_2 t_1), \quad \forall t_0, t_1 = \frac{\mu_1}{2\mu_3 + \mu_1} t_0 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Fabrie, C. Galusinski, A. Miranville, *Uniform inertial sets for damped wave equations*, Disc. and Cont. Dyn. Sys. 6, no. 2, p 393–418, 2000.
- [2] P. Fabrie, C. Galusinski, A. Miranville, S. Zelik, *Uniform exponential attractors for a singularly perturbed damped wave equation*, to appear in
- [3] A. B. Ferrari, E. S. Titi, *Gevrey regularity for nonlinear analytic parabolic equations*, CPDE, 23, p 1-16, 1998.
- [4] C. Foias, R. Temam, *Gevrey class regularity for the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Func. Anal. 87, 259-369, 1989.
- [5] M. Oliver, E. S. Titi, *Gevrey regularity for the attractor of a partially dissipative model of Bénard convection in a porous medium*, JDE 163, p 292-311, 2000.